

Waste Management of Biogas Production in Livestock Farms

Chaple Pooja M^{*1} and Khawale Ashutosh Vijay²

¹Assistant Professor, Arawali Veterinary College, Bajor, Sikar, Rajasthan; MVSc, DUVASU, Mathura

²Assistant Professor, MJF College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Chomu, Jaipur; MVSc, NET; Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izzatnagar, Bareilly

*Corresponding Author Email: poojachaple19999@gmail.com

Abstract

Livestock manure is a valuable nutrient resource but can create odour, methane, flies, pathogens and water pollution when poorly handled. Anaerobic digestion provides a structured way to manage manure while producing biogas that can be used for cooking, heating, electricity or upgraded fuel. During digestion, microorganisms break down organic matter in the absence of oxygen, generating a methane-rich gas and a nutrient-containing digestate. Successful systems depend on appropriate feedstock, moisture, temperature, retention time, loading rate and regular maintenance. Sand, stones, excessive bedding, disinfectants and sudden ration changes can interfere with digester performance. Biogas also requires safe handling because methane is flammable and hydrogen sulphide is toxic. The residual digestate is not a waste product; when stored and applied correctly it can recycle nitrogen, phosphorus and organic matter to cropland. Poor storage, however, can still result in ammonia losses, odour and runoff. Farm-scale biogas should therefore be planned as part of an integrated manure-management system that includes collection, pre-treatment, digestion, gas use, digestate storage and field application. Proper design can reduce pollution, recover renewable energy and improve nutrient recycling, but economics depend on herd size, energy use, construction cost and local support.

Keywords: Livestock Waste, Anaerobic Digestion, Biogas, Manure Management, Digestate, Nutrient Recycling

How to Cite this article: Chaple, P. M., & Khawale, A. V. (2026). Waste Management of Biogas Production in Livestock Farms. *Future Agriculture e-magazine*, September 2026, Issue 3, pp. 258-265.

Introduction

Manure contains organic matter and plant nutrients, but its value can be lost when it is discharged into drains, stored under uncontrolled conditions or applied to land at inappropriate rates. Large livestock concentrations can create odour, fly and water-quality problems. Biogas systems offer a way to stabilise organic material while capturing part of its energy value. Practical implementation of introduction should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to treating manure as both a pollution risk and a recoverable source of energy and plant nutrients. A practical plan is to map manure generation, collection routes, water addition, storage and land application before sizing any

digester or gas-use system. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include daily manure volume, solids content, water use, odour complaints, runoff risk, energy demand and nutrient application area. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that a digester does not solve problems caused by uncontrolled collection, overflowing storage or excessive nutrient application. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Anaerobic digestion is only one stage of manure management. Collection, transport, solid separation, gas storage, digestate handling and field application must be designed together. A well-performing digester cannot compensate for nutrient runoff from poorly managed digestate. The value of introduction also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect treating manure as both a pollution risk and a recoverable source of energy and plant nutrients with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for daily manure volume, solids content, water use, odour complaints, runoff risk, energy demand and nutrient application area. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because a digester does not solve problems caused by uncontrolled collection, overflowing storage or excessive nutrient application, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Principles of Anaerobic Digestion

Anaerobic digestion involves a sequence of microbial processes that convert complex organic matter into simpler compounds and finally methane and carbon dioxide. The process is sensitive to pH, temperature, loading rate and toxic compounds. Sudden overloading can lead to acid accumulation and reduced gas production. Practical implementation of principles of anaerobic digestion should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to maintaining stable microbial conversion through suitable temperature, pH, retention time and organic loading. A practical plan is to feed the digester consistently, avoid sudden substrate changes, control dilution and mixing, and observe gas production as an

operational indicator. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include gas volume, methane quality where available, pH, temperature, loading rate, retention time, foaming and effluent characteristics. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that rapid overloading, toxic chemicals, antibiotics or disinfectants, and temperature shocks can suppress microbial activity. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Stable feeding is therefore important. Digesters operate best when manure and other substrates are added at a reasonably consistent rate. Retention time must be sufficient for microbial activity, and mixing should prevent excessive settling or floating layers without introducing oxygen. The value of principles of anaerobic digestion also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect maintaining stable microbial conversion through suitable temperature, pH, retention time and organic loading with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for gas volume, methane quality where available, pH, temperature, loading rate, retention time, foaming and effluent characteristics. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because rapid overloading, toxic chemicals, antibiotics or disinfectants, and temperature shocks can suppress microbial activity, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process

allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Collection and Feedstock Preparation

Manure can be collected as slurry, scraped solids or a mixture with wash water. Excessive dilution increases digester volume and heating demand, while very dry material may be difficult to pump. Bedding type affects handling; sand can accumulate in tanks and reduce effective capacity. Practical implementation of collection and feedstock preparation should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to supplying a reasonably uniform feedstock while excluding sand, stones, plastics and excessive bedding.



A practical plan is to separate foreign materials, minimise unnecessary wash water, blend co-substrates carefully and keep collection channels easy to inspect and clean. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include total solids, volatile solids, contamination, dilution, pumpability, feedstock quantity and changes caused by ration or bedding practices. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that poor feedstock preparation increases wear, settling, blockage and digester instability even when the digester itself is well designed. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Pre-screening can remove stones, plastic and other debris. Co-digestion with crop residues or food wastes may increase gas production where regulations allow, but each new material changes nutrient balance and loading and should be introduced cautiously. The value of collection and feedstock preparation also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect supplying a reasonably uniform feedstock while excluding sand, stones, plastics and excessive bedding with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for total solids, volatile solids, contamination, dilution, pumpability, feedstock quantity and changes caused by ration or bedding practices. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because poor feedstock preparation increases wear, settling, blockage and digester instability even when the digester itself is well designed, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Table 1. Main stages in livestock-manure biogas management.

Stage	Important control point
Manure collection	Minimise debris and avoid unnecessary dilution while maintaining pumpable consistency.
Pre-treatment	Remove stones, plastics and excessive sand; mix feedstock consistently.
Anaerobic digestion	Control loading, temperature, retention time, pH and mixing.
Gas handling	Remove moisture or hydrogen sulphide as required and check for leaks.
Digestate storage	Prevent overflow, runoff and unnecessary ammonia loss.
Land application	Apply according to crop nutrient demand, soil condition and buffer requirements.

Digester Types and Farm Selection

Common farm digesters include fixed-dome, floating-drum, plug-flow and continuously stirred tank designs. Selection depends on manure consistency, climate, herd

size, land availability and technical capacity. Simple designs may be more appropriate where maintenance services are limited. Practical implementation of digester types and farm selection should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to matching digester design to manure consistency, climate, herd size, land availability, management skill and energy demand. A practical plan is to compare plug-flow, covered lagoon, complete-mix or other systems using realistic manure volumes and locally available construction and service support. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include capital cost, retention volume, expected gas yield, seasonal temperature, pumping requirement, land footprint and maintenance demand. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that choosing a technology because it is popular elsewhere can fail when local climate, feedstock or management conditions are different. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Cold temperatures slow digestion, while high temperatures can destabilise poorly controlled systems. Insulation or heating may be necessary in some regions. The farm should identify a reliable use for the gas before investment because unused gas represents lost value. The value of digester types and farm selection also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect matching digester design to manure consistency, climate, herd size, land availability, management skill and energy demand with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial

choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for capital cost, retention volume, expected gas yield, seasonal temperature, pumping requirement, land footprint and maintenance demand. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because choosing a technology because it is popular elsewhere can fail when local climate, feedstock or management conditions are different, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Biogas Cleaning, Storage and Safety

Raw biogas contains methane, carbon dioxide, water vapour and varying amounts of hydrogen sulphide. Moisture can corrode pipelines, and hydrogen sulphide is both corrosive and highly toxic. Gas cleaning requirements depend on whether biogas is used in a simple burner, engine or upgraded fuel system. Practical implementation of biogas cleaning, storage and safety should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to handling methane-rich gas as an energy resource that also contains moisture and potentially toxic hydrogen sulphide. A practical plan is to use suitable piping, condensate traps, pressure relief, ventilation, flame control and gas-cleaning components appropriate to the intended end use. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include gas pressure, leakage, hydrogen sulphide where monitored, moisture accumulation, burner performance and condition of flexible or fixed storage. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that methane is flammable and hydrogen sulphide is toxic, so confined spaces, open flames and poorly maintained lines require strict safety controls. Therefore records

should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Methane is flammable, so enclosed spaces require ventilation and ignition sources should be controlled. Pressure-relief devices, flame arrestors and routine leak checks improve safety. Workers must never enter manure or digester spaces without appropriate confined-space procedures. The value of biogas cleaning, storage and safety also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect handling methane-rich gas as an energy resource that also contains moisture and potentially toxic hydrogen sulphide with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for gas pressure, leakage, hydrogen sulphide where monitored, moisture accumulation, burner performance and condition of flexible or fixed storage. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because methane is flammable and hydrogen sulphide is toxic, so confined spaces, open flames and poorly maintained lines require strict safety controls, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Digestate Management

Digestion reduces easily degradable organic matter but does not remove most nutrients. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium remain in digestate and can be valuable fertiliser. Nutrient content should be considered when determining field application rate. Practical implementation of digestate management should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For

integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to recovering nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and organic matter from digestate without creating secondary pollution. A practical plan is to provide adequate covered or protected storage, estimate nutrient content and apply digestate according to crop demand, soil condition and weather. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include storage volume, nutrient analysis, application rate, timing, runoff risk, odour, ammonia loss and crop response. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that digestate remains nutrient-rich and can contaminate water or cause gaseous losses if it is over-applied or poorly stored. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Covered storage can reduce rainwater entry and some gaseous losses. Application should avoid saturated soil, waterways and periods of heavy rainfall. Injection or rapid incorporation may reduce ammonia loss and odour where equipment and soil conditions permit. The value of digestate management also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect recovering nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and organic matter from digestate without creating secondary pollution with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for storage volume, nutrient analysis, application rate, timing, runoff risk, odour, ammonia loss and crop response. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because digestate remains nutrient-rich and can contaminate water or cause gaseous losses if it

is over-applied or poorly stored, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Table 2. Common biogas problems and management responses.

Problem	Possible response
Falling gas production	Check feed rate, temperature, pH, blockage and recent substrate changes.
Foaming / scum	Reduce sudden loading changes and review mixing and feedstock characteristics.
Pipeline corrosion	Improve moisture removal and hydrogen sulphide control.
Strong odour from digestate	Review storage cover, handling frequency and land-application timing.
Sand accumulation	Improve separation before digestion and schedule clean-out.
Runoff risk	Increase storage capacity and apply digestate only under suitable soil and weather conditions.

Environmental and Health Benefits

Capturing methane can reduce emissions compared with uncontrolled anaerobic manure storage, particularly when the gas displaces fossil or biomass fuel. Digestion can also reduce odour and some pathogen levels, although it should not be assumed to sterilise manure completely. Practical implementation of environmental and health benefits should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to reducing uncontrolled methane, odour, pathogen exposure and nutrient loss while recovering renewable energy. A practical plan is to integrate digestion with good housing hygiene, vector control, safe slurry handling and nutrient recycling rather than presenting biogas as a stand-alone treatment. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include energy displaced, manure stabilisation, odour events, nutrient recovery, water-quality risk and worker exposure. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that environmental benefits depend on leak prevention, good digestate

management and realistic accounting of construction and operating inputs. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Nutrient recycling can reduce demand for purchased fertiliser, but excessive application can still cause nitrate leaching and phosphorus runoff. A nutrient-management plan is therefore essential for environmental benefit. The value of environmental and health benefits also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect reducing uncontrolled methane, odour, pathogen exposure and nutrient loss while recovering renewable energy with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for energy displaced, manure stabilisation, odour events, nutrient recovery, water-quality risk and worker exposure. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because environmental benefits depend on leak prevention, good digestate management and realistic accounting of construction and operating inputs, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Economics and Operational Management

The economic performance of farm biogas depends on construction cost, gas yield, energy price, maintenance and the value of digestate. Large farms usually have a continuous manure supply, but small community or household digesters can also be useful where gas replaces purchased cooking fuel. Practical implementation of economics and operational management should start with a clear baseline and a defined management objective. For integrated manure management and farm-scale biogas production, priority should be given to matching investment scale to reliable manure supply, useful energy demand and the farm's ability to operate the system every day. A practical plan is to prepare budgets for construction, pumps, gas use, maintenance, labour, replacement parts and seasonal performance, and assign clear operational responsibility. Changes should be introduced consistently so their effects can be interpreted. Workers should know the normal range and the signs that require attention. Monitoring can include gas use, energy savings, maintenance cost, downtime, labour requirement, digestate value and period of return on investment. Trends are more useful than isolated readings because season, production stage and local conditions alter expected performance. One limitation is that biogas projects often underperform when expected gas yield, equipment reliability or income from energy is estimated too optimistically. Therefore records should be reviewed regularly and important deviations checked by the relevant farm, veterinary, technical or crop specialist. Measures that fit available labour, infrastructure and budget are more likely to be maintained and to produce reliable long-term benefit.

Daily operation is important. Gas production, slurry flow, pH and unusual odour or foaming should be monitored. Operators need access to technical support so that small problems are corrected before the digester fails. The value of economics and operational management also depends on integration with the wider production system. Management should connect matching investment scale to reliable manure supply, useful energy demand

and the farm's ability to operate the system every day with routine records, clear responsibilities and a response plan. Where equipment, biological processes or field operations are involved, timing, maintenance and quality control matter as much as the initial choice. Records should show what was done and the response observed, particularly for gas use, energy savings, maintenance cost, downtime, labour requirement, digestate value and period of return on investment. Evaluation should consider productivity together with health or soil function, labour, input use, risk and economics. Because biogas projects often underperform when expected gas yield, equipment reliability or income from energy is estimated too optimistically, weak results should first prompt a review of implementation and local constraints. Comparison with a previous season, baseline or similar group helps separate real improvement from normal variation. This adaptive process allows timing, thresholds and resource use to be refined as experience accumulates.

Conclusion

Biogas production can convert livestock waste from an environmental burden into a source of renewable energy and recyclable nutrients. The most successful systems manage the entire pathway from manure collection to safe gas use and responsible digestate application.

Farmers should match digester design to manure characteristics, climate and available skills. Safety, nutrient management and maintenance are as important as gas production when evaluating long-term value.

References

- Angelidaki, I., Ellegaard, L., & Ahring, B. K. (2003). Applications of the anaerobic digestion process. *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, 82, 1-33.
- FAO. (2013). *Climate-Smart Agriculture Sourcebook*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Holm-Nielsen, J. B., Al Seadi, T., & Oleskowicz-Popiel, P. (2009). The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. *Bioresource Technology*, 100, 5478-5484.
- Møller, H. B., Sommer, S. G., & Ahring, B. K. (2004). Methane productivity of manure, straw and solid fractions of manure. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 26, 485-495.



Nasir, I. M., Mohd Ghazi, T. I., & Omar, R. (2012). Anaerobic digestion technology in livestock manure treatment for biogas production. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 12, 258-269.

Ward, A. J., Hobbs, P. J., Holliman, P. J., & Jones, D. L. (2008). Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 7928-7940.